

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,065	01/28/2004	Steven M. Spencer	12013/49501	9463	
23838	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON 1500 K STREET NW			CAMERON, ERMA C		
SUITE 700	EEI NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,065	SPENCER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erma Cameron	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	~					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 13-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· alaatian wax.ii.a.wa.ut					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list t	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	م المالية ا	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	-/					

Application/Control Number: 10/765,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 5 and 13-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/29/2005.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-12 and d) in the reply filed on 9/29/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is not an undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the large number of species that exist in claims 1-18 places an undue burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

See [0012]. It is not clear how the amount of the first agent can be used to determine the amount of the second agent to be applied, if the second agent is different from the first agent. In the example given of 100 mg total, it appears that the first and second agent are the same, and therefore it makes sense that the amount of the second agent applied can be determined by how much of the agent was applied in the first coating. But the examiner cannot understand how the amount of drug X applied in the first coating can be used to determine how much of drug Y to apply in the second coating.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2002/0133183.
- '183 teaches applying a drug such as heparin to the inner surface of a stent, where the heparin would come in contact with the blood, and another drug such as rapamycin to the outer surface where it comes in contact with the tissue. The two drugs are applied in a variety of

polymeric coatings, that do not have to be the same. The two drugs come in contact with

different anatomical areas, each of which may have different disease characteristics. The two

Page 4

drugs inherently have different release kinetics.

Alternatively, heparin may be immobilized on the inner surface and rapamycin and

heparin on the outer surface of a stent [0121]. Although '183 does not directly state that the

amount of heparin in the outer surface is coordinated with the amount applied to the inner

surface, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have coordinated the

amount of drugs applied to the two surface.

In another embodiment, different forms of heparin may be immobilized on the coating,

thus creating a plurality of reservoirs [0122].

See [0118]-[0123].

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,065 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

November 12, 2005